

25.03.2019

WSE Non-Public Website

WSE Non-Public Website

krysiak@larq.pl krysiak@lark.pl

(Issuers)

Website for Issuers – EBI System

Website for Issuers – EBI

MENU

Home Page

Add Report

Regarding deviation from the Best Practices

Regarding incidental breach of the Best Practices

Other reports

Current Status of DPSN Compliance

Archival Reports

Regulations

Contact

Test Mode

Submission of the Best Practices Report – Confirmation

Company: LARQ Spółka Akcyjna  
Number: 2/2019  
Date: 2019-03-25 13:59:29  
Market Type: CORPORATE GOVERNANCE – Regulated Market  
Title: LARQ Spółka Akcyjna – Report regarding the extent of compliance with the Best Practices

Text:

Pursuant to § 29.3 of the Regulations of the Warsaw Stock Exchange, LARQ Spółka Akcyjna submits this report regarding its deviation from detailed rules as set forth in the Best Practices of the WSE-Listed Companies 2016.

Information Policy and Communication with Investors

I.Z.1.9. Disclosure of dividend planned and dividend paid out by the company during the last five financial years, with details of dividend record date, dividend payment dates and dividend amounts – totals and per share.

The Company does not comply with the above rule.

This rule is not applied to the extent of posting any information about dividend planned on the Company's website.

I.Z.1.15. Disclosure of the company's diversity policy applicable to its governing bodies and key managers; the description should cover the following elements of the diversity policy: gender, education, age, professional experience, and specify the goals of the diversity policy and its implementation in the reporting period; where the company had not drafted or implemented a diversity policy, it should publish the explanation of its decision on its website.

The Company's comments on application of the above rule.

The Company has not formally adopted any diversity policy. The competent authorities of the Company decide on selection of members to the Supervisory Board and the Company's managers. The participation of different persons in the fulfilment of management, supervisory and managerial functions within the Company's structures is based on their competencies, skills, professional experience, efficiency and professional approach. The Company believes that the aforesaid criteria for assessing candidates proposed to the Company's authorities and to serve as key managers are quite satisfactory considering the Company's needs.

I.Z.1.16. Information about the planned broadcast of a general meeting – at the latest seven days before the date of general meeting.

The Company does not comply with the above rule.

The Company has deviated from the above rule since it does not follow Rule IV.Z.2.

#### Internal Systems and Functions

III.Z.1. The Management Board of the Company is responsible for the implementation and maintenance of efficient internal control, risk management, compliance and internal audit functions. The Company's comments on application of the above rule.

The Company points out that, at present, the Management Board is responsible for controls over operations of the Company, including controls of its internal processes and risk management. However, the Company does not have any formalised internal control, risk management or compliance procedures or units. The Management Board cannot see any current need to appoint such units unless their appointment seems necessary in the opinion of the Supervisory Board. The Company believes that, considering its size and scope of business, the existing control and audit and risk management systems are found sufficient.

III.Z.3. With reference to the person heading the internal audit functions and other persons responsible for fulfilment of such tasks, the independence rules apply as defined in the generally recognised and accepted international standards of the professional internal audit practice.

The Company does not comply with the above rule.

The Company does not have any separate internal audit unit nor a separate post for a person heading that function. The Company complies with the principles described in the reasons for rule III.Z.1. above.

III.Z.4. At least once a year, the person responsible for internal audit (if the company has such a separate function) and the management board should report to the supervisory board with their assessment of the efficiency of the systems and functions mentioned in rule III.Z.1, and submit a relevant report.

The Company does not comply with the above rule.

As already mentioned in the reasons for non-compliance with rule III.Z.3 above, the company does not have a separate internal audit function nor a separate post for a person heading that function. In addition, as stated in the reasons for non-compliance with rule III.Z.1, the Management Board of the Company fulfils internal control, risk management, compliance and internal audit tasks as a part of its

responsibilities, however, it does not submit any separate assessment of the efficiency of the a/m systems otherwise than in contacts with the Supervisory Board and the Audit Committee.

III.Z.5. The supervisory board monitors the efficiency of the systems and functions mentioned in Rule III.Z.1, among others based on periodic reports furnished to it directly by persons responsible for those functions and by the company's management board; it also performs the annual assessment of operating efficiency of those systems and functions as required under II.Z.10.1. If the company has an audit committee, that committee monitors the efficiency of the systems and functions mentioned in Rule III.Z.1 which, however, does not exempt the supervisory board from the annual assessment of operating efficiency of those systems and functions.

The Company does not comply with the above rule.

As mentioned in the reasons for Rule III.Z.1, the Company does not have any formalised internal control, risk management or compliance procedures or units. In view of the foregoing, the Company's Supervisory Board and Audit Committee monitor the efficiency of the a/m systems by reliance on regular contacts with the Management Board of the Company which is responsible for fulfilment of the said tasks, while the Supervisory Board carries out its separate assessment of operating efficiency of those systems and functions pursuant to Rule II.Z.10.1.

#### General Meetings and Shareholder Relations

IV.Z.2. If it is reasonable considering the shareholding structure, companies should ensure publicly available real-time broadcasts of general meetings.

The Company does not comply with the above rule.

Considering the shareholding structure and the lack of interest in such broadcasts of meetings by its shareholders, the Company believes that, at present, it is not reasonable to broadcast its general meetings. The decision to deviate from this rule was made considering excessively high costs that the Company would need to incur; the Company believes that such costs would be disproportionate to any potential advantages for shareholders.

#### Emoluments

VI.Z.2. To tie emoluments of members of the management board and key managers to the company's long-term business and financial goals, the period between the grant of options or other instruments linked to the company's shares under the incentive scheme and their exercisability should be no less than two years.

The Company does not comply with the above rule.

The Company has one incentive scheme for the Company's executives, including its Board Members, that allow for exercise of other instruments tied to shares in the Company during a period of less than two years after their grant.

IV.Z.4. In its business review report, the company should report on the remuneration policy, including at least the following:

- 1) General information about the company's remuneration system;
- 2) Information about the conditions and amounts of emoluments of each member of the management board, broken down by fixed and variable remuneration components, and the terms of payment of severance pay and other amounts due on termination of employment, contract or other similar legal relationship, separately for the company and each member of its group;
- 3) Information about non-financial components of emoluments due to each member of the management board and key manager;
- 4) Significant amendments to the remuneration policy in the last financial year or information about their absence;

5) Assessment of the implementation of the remuneration policy in terms of achievement of its goals, in particular long-term shareholder value creation and the company's stability.

The Company does not comply with the above rule.

The above rule is not fully followed by the Company since the Company has not adopted any remuneration policy. Emoluments of members of its Supervisory Board are fixed by the General Meeting, while emoluments of members of the Management Board are fixed by the Supervisory Board. The Management Board fixes the remuneration of key managers. In its business review report, the Company addresses the emoluments of members of its Management and Supervisory Boards.

Attachments: [PL\\_GPW\\_dobre\\_praktyki\\_LARQ.pdf](#)    [Print confirmation](#)    [Go to list of reports](#)  
Size: 56.1 KB

Persons representing the company

Krzysztof Przybyłowski, Chairman of Management Board

Małgorzata Dziecioł, Member of Management Board

Anna Krawczyńska-Nowak, Member of Management Board

Mikołaj Chruszczewski, Member of Management Board

Login [krysiak@larq.pl](mailto:krysiak@larq.pl)